

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/954,604	09/17/2001	Gerald R. Koefelda	RPC 0467 D 8855	
75	7590 01/16/2004		EXAMINER	
KONSTANTINE J. DIAMOND			CHEN, JOSE V	
4010 East 26th Street Los Angeles, CA 90023		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3637	

DATE MAILED: 01/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
<b>~</b> 1	Advisory Action	09/954,604	KOEFELDA ET AL.
	Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
		José V. Chen	3637
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
There final r condition	REPLY FILED 12/29/03 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A fore, further action by the applicant is required to a ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 ion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apperination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of th	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
have be 37 CFF (b) abo		isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ender. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a	) $\square$ they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);
(b	) $\square$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note t	pelow);	
(с	they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d	they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):	
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment
5.🛛	The a) $\square$ affidavit, b) $\square$ exhibit, or c) $\boxtimes$ request fo application in condition for allowance because: $\underline{the}$	r reconsideration has been cons a rejection is still deemed proper by	sidered but does NOT place the the the examiner.
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7.	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
	Claim(s) allowed:		
	Claim(s) objected to:		
	Claim(s) rejected:		
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10.	Other:		\

José V. Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3637